Adult age differences in functional connectivity during executive control
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ABSTRACT

Task switching requires executive control processes that undergo age-related decline. Previous neuroimaging studies have identified age-related differences in brain activation associated with global switching effects (dual-task blocks versus single-task blocks), but age-related differences in activation during local switching effects (switch trials versus repeat trials, within blocks) have not been investigated. This experiment used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), to examine adult age differences in task switching across adjacent trials (i.e., local task switching). During fMRI scanning, participants performed a cued, word categorization task. From interspersed cue-only trials, switch-related processing associated with the cue was estimated separately from the target. Activation associated with task switching, within a distributed frontoparietal network, differed for cue- and target-related processing. The magnitude of event-related activation for task switching was similar for younger adults (n = 20; 18–27 years) and older adults (n = 20; 60–85 years), although activation sustained throughout the on-tasks periods exhibited some age-related decline. Critically, the functional connectivity of switch-related regions, during cue processing, was higher for younger adults than for older adults, whereas functional connectivity during target processing was comparable across the age groups. Further, individual differences in cue-related functional connectivity shared a substantial portion of the age-related variability in the efficiency of target categorization response (drift rate). This age-related difference in functional connectivity, however, was independent of white matter integrity within task-relevant regions. These findings highlight the functional connectivity of frontoparietal activation as a potential source of age-related decline in executive control.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Behavioral studies of cognitive aging have revealed a pattern of both preserved and declining cognitive abilities during normal adult development. Whereas knowledge-based abilities such as semantic memory and vocabulary are typically well-preserved (Burke and Shafto, 2008; Mayr and Kliegl, 2000), processes comprising the on-line storage, updating, and manipulation of new information are usually less efficient for older adults than for younger adults (Salthouse and Miles, 2002; Verhaeghen and Cerella, 2002; Zelazo et al., 2004). These latter abilities are often referred to as executive control processes, reflecting their central role in task coordination and monitoring, but executive functioning is not entirely separable from related processes such as working memory and attentional capacity (Braver and West, 2008; Kramer and Madden, 2008). In addition, tests of elementary perceptual speed, such as digit-symbol coding, share a substantial degree of age-related variance with measures of executive control, and thus age-related effects in executive functioning may be significantly influenced by age-related slowing at a sensory–motor level (Salthouse et al., 2003). When contrasted directly with perceptual speed, however, some tests of executive functioning do exhibit age-related decline, above and beyond the effects of speed (Braver et al., 2001; Keys and White, 2000; Wecker et al., 2000).

Our goal in this research was to investigate age-related differences in the functional neuroanatomy of executive control, in the context of a task-switching paradigm. On each trial, a cue word indicated which one of two categorization decisions should be performed on an upcoming target word. Performance in this type of task is typically slower and less accurate when the categorization on the current trial switches from the previous trial, relative to when it repeats, reflecting many component operations involved in switching from a prepared task to an alternative (Meiran et al., 2000; Monsell, 2003). One interpretation of these component operations is that they are not inherently related to executive control but instead primarily reflect cue encoding, which benefits from repetition across successive trials (Logan and Bundesen, 2003). Other evidence, however, suggests that switching the cue across trials does lead to an endogenous form of executive control involving the reconfiguration of task set (Monsell and Mizon, 2006).

The performance costs of task switching accrue at both a global level and a local level. The global effects represent the additional...
demands of the task switching context, relative to a single-task condition, whereas the local effects represent the switch in task set across individual trials. For example, an increase in reaction time (RT) for task-repeat trials when they are included in a dual-task block, relative to a single-task block, is a global effect, whereas the increase in RT for switch versus repeat trials, within a dual-task block, is a local effect.

Task switching costs are typically more pronounced for older adults than for younger adults, primarily at the global level. Age-related effects also occur at the local level, though less consistently (Kramer et al., 1999; Kray and Lindenberger, 2000; Meiran et al., 2001). Mayr (2001) proposed that age-related increases in global switch costs represent the demands of updating and differentiating internal control settings. Thus, when neither the stimulus information nor the response information is sufficient to keep different task sets apart, older adults need to select the relevant mental set on both switch and nonswitch trials, whereas younger adults need to do this only on switch trials.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies of younger adults suggest that attentional tasks activate regions within a widely distributed network comprising frontal, diencephalic (e.g., thalamic), and parietal regions (Corbetta and Shulman, 2002; Fan et al., 2005; Kastner and Ungerleider, 2000; Pessoa and Ungerleider, 2004). Particularly important, for top-down regulation of task performance and executive functioning, are regions in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and superior parietal cortex. DiGirolamo et al. (2001) found that the increase in frontoparietal activation associated with the dual-task blocks was actually smaller in magnitude for older adults than for younger adults, because older adults activated the frontoparietal network during both single-task and dual-task blocks. Gold et al. reported that the task switching effect in the magnitude of frontoparietal activation did not differ significantly between the age groups, but that the older adults activated some additional cortical regions not activated by younger adults, which is more in line with a compensatory recruitment interpretation. Both of these previous studies used blocked designs, however, and thus the measured activation was not attributable specifically to task switching processes on individual trials.

In this experiment, we used fMRI to investigate three issues associated with age-related differences in executive control during task switching. First, does the pattern of regional brain activation associated with task switching, on individual trials, vary as a function of adult age? Previous studies indicate that age-related effects of task switching occur at a global level, for dual-task relative to single-task blocks (DiGirolamo et al., 2001; Gold et al., 2010), but it has not yet been determined whether local task switching effects vary with adult age. Although behavioral age-related effects in local task switching are typically small in magnitude (Kray and Lindenberger, 2000; Mayr, 2001), older adults may engage a different pattern of cortical activation to achieve a behavioral goal comparable to that of younger adults. We used a mixed blocked/event-related design (Braver et al., 2003; Burgund et al., 2003; Donaldson et al., 2001; Otten et al., 2002; Visscher et al., 2003) to distinguish block-level (sustained) and event-related (transient) activation, during a series of dual-task blocks. Thus, we could obtain some information regarding the global level, in terms of the activation sustained throughout the block, as well as the more critical information regarding event-related activation for local task switching. Although little is known regarding age-related differences in sustained activation, Dennis et al. (2007) have noted an age-related decline in the sustained activation of prefrontal regions, during a memory encoding task.

To isolate the event-related activity associated specifically with switching, we included a series of cue-only trials, intermixed with trials containing both a cue and a target. That is, the cue-only trials required cue encoding and selection of the relevant categorization task set, but the trials were terminated without target presentation. Thus, from the cue-only trials we could gain a more accurate characterization of event-related activation associated with cue encoding and switching between task sets, independently of activation contributed by target identification and response processes. Neuroimaging studies of task switching, with younger adult participants, have demonstrated that several regions within the frontoparietal attentional network are activated specifically by switching between task sets on cue-only trials (Brass and von Cramon, 2002; Slagter et al., 2006).

The second issue that we investigated was age-related differences in the functional connectivity of regions activated during task switching. Because the network of regions typically activated during attention and executive functioning tasks is distributed widely, across frontal, parietal, and diencephalic regions (Corbetta and Shulman, 2002; Fan et al., 2005; Freiwald and Kanwisher, 2004; Kastner and Ungerleider, 2000; LaBerge, 2000; Pessoa and Ungerleider, 2004), it is important to determine whether age-related differences occur in the functional interdependence of activated regions. Age-related differences in the functional connectivity of task-relevant regions may occur even if the overall level of activation is similar across age groups (Grady, 2005; Stevens, 2009). For younger adults, increasing connectivity of task-related activation, across trials, is associated with better performance in sensory/motor and memory tasks (Daseelaar et al., 2006b; Gazzaley et al., 2007; Rissman et al., 2004). Studies of age-related differences in functional connectivity, during episodic memory tasks, have demonstrated significant changes in connectivity across adult age groups. Older adults exhibited lower connectivity
among task-relevant cortical regions, and in some instances greater connectivity in regions outside of the memory-relevant network (Daselaar et al., 2006a; Dennis et al., 2008; Grady, 2005; St. Jacques et al., 2009). To our knowledge, age-related differences in functional connectivity during executive control have not been reported previously. We predicted that functional connectivity during task switching would be lower for older adults than for younger adults, and that individual differences in functional connectivity would be a significant mediator of age-related variance in behavioral performance.

Third, we investigated the relation between cerebral white matter integrity and task-related, functional connectivity. The current imaging protocol included a diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) sequence, which assessed white matter integrity, in terms of the degree and directionality of diffusivity of molecular water (Basser and Jones, 2002; Beaulieu, 2002; Jones, 2008; Mori, 2007). Age-related decline occurs in the DTI measure of diffusion directionality and fractional anisotropy (FA), which suggests a corresponding decline in the integrity of white matter pathways, due to a number of variables including both a degradation of the axonal myelin and frank loss of neurons (Bennett et al., 2010; Madden et al., 2009a; Sullivan and Pfefferbaum, 2006). In a previous report of the DTI and behavioral data from this experiment, we proposed that individual differences in the integrity of two white matter regions within the frontoparietal network, the genu of the corpus callosum and splenium–parietal fibers in the right hemisphere, mediated age-related variance in the efficiency of behavioral performance in this version of task switching (Madden et al., 2009b; see also Gold et al., 2010; Perry et al., 2009). In the analyses of these fMRI data, we sought to determine whether age-related differences in functional connectivity during task switching were dependent on individual differences in cerebral white matter integrity. Although age-related differences in functional connectivity among the nontask-related components of the fMRI signal (i.e., intrinsic functional connectivity) appear to be dependent on cerebral white matter integrity (Andrews-Hanna et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2009), the relation between task-related functional connectivity and white matter integrity has not, to our knowledge, been investigated in the context of aging. We hypothesized that white matter integrity, within the regions previously identified as relevant for behavioral performance in this task (Madden et al., 2009b), would also mediate age-related effects in task-related functional connectivity.

In summary, we used a task-switching paradigm to investigate adult age differences in executive control. Previous fMRI studies of age-related differences in task switching have focused on the global differences between dual-task and single-task blocks. We used a mixed blocked/event-related design, and interspersed cue-only trials, which allowed us to both a) separate transient from sustained forms of activation; and b) identify switch-related activation during cue processing, independently of target identification and response processes. We were particularly interested in the functional connectivity of regions activated by switching, and we hypothesized that age-related decline in behavioral performance would be related to a corresponding decline in functional connectivity. Finally, we proposed that the degree of cerebral white matter integrity, within regions associated with the frontoparietal attentional network, would influence age-related effects in functional connectivity.

Materials and methods

Participants

The participants were 20 younger adults (10 women) between 18 and 27 years of age ($M = 22.40$ years; $SD = 2.50$) and 20 older adults (10 women) between 60 and 85 years of age ($M = 69.60$ years; $SD = 6.05$). The research procedures were approved by the Duke University Medical Center Institutional Review Board, and all participants provided written informed consent. Participants were healthy, community-dwelling, right-handed individuals who were free of significant disease (e.g., atherosclerosis and hypertension), had corrected visual acuity of 20/40 or better, and did not exhibit atypical neurological findings in T2-weighted structural imaging. The older adults exhibited slower perceptual–motor speed ($M = 1383$ ms) than younger adults ($M = 1338$ ms), on a computer-administered, two-choice version of digit-symbol coding, $r(38) = 5.55$, $p < 0.001$. The two age groups were comparable in years of education and performance on the vocabulary subtest of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (Wechsler, 1981), Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE; Folstein et al., 1975), and Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; Beck, 1978). Mean values on these psychometric tests are reported in Madden et al. (2009b). The psychometric data were collected in a screening session prior to scanning, and participants also performed a brief, practice version of the task-switching paradigm during this screening session.

Behavioral task

During the scanning session, participants performed a version of task switching, similar to that of Braver et al. (2003). On each trial, a cue word indicated which one of two categorization decisions (manmade/natural or large/small) should be made regarding an upcoming target word. The large/small categorization was defined in terms of whether the referent of the target word was larger or smaller than a computer monitor. The target was a concrete word that was easily categorized along these dimensions (e.g., snail and building). The relevant categorization indicated by the cue either repeated or switched, unpredictably, across trials.

Six blocks of behavioral test trials corresponded to six fMRI runs. Within each of the six fMRI runs, there were three on-task periods (approximately 2 min $7$ s each), separated by four off-task periods (19.5 s each). In this mixed blocked/event-related design (Dennis et al., 2007; Donaldson et al., 2001; Visscher et al., 2003), an off-task period always occurred at the beginning, and at the end, of the scanner run (Fig. 1).

On 75% of the trials, both a cue and a target word were presented (i.e., cue + target trials). The sequence of events on individual cue + target trials is illustrated in Fig. 2. To isolate the fMRI activation associated specifically with the cue, 25% of the trials within each trial block were cue-only trials, in which the target was not presented (Brass and von Cramon, 2002; Slagter et al., 2006; Weissman et al., 2005). Participants were instructed that when the target was missing they should just turn their attention to the next trial. In the data analyses, the designation of a trial as a repeat or switch trial (i.e., trial

![Fig. 1. Mixed blocked/event-related design. Each on-task period was a mixture of cue-only and cue+ target trials.](Image 322x74 to 551x225)
Imaging data acquisition

Imaging was conducted on a 3 T GE Signa Excite MRI scanner (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI) with an eight-channel head coil. Participants wore ear plugs during scanning to reduce scanner noise, and head motion was minimized with foam pads and headband. The imaging sequence included a sagittal localizer series, followed by T1-weighted, T2-weighted, six runs of T2*-weighted (functional) and two runs of diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). With the exception of the T1-weighted localizer series, slice orientation was near-axial, parallel to the anterior–posterior commissure (AC–PC) plane.

The T1-weighted anatomical images were 104 contiguous slices acquired with a high-resolution, 3D fast inverse-recovery-prepared spoiled gradient recalled (SPGR) sequence, with repetition time (TR) = 7.4 ms, echo time (TE) = 3 ms, field of view (FOV) = 256 mm, 1.2 mm slice thickness, flip angle = 12°, voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1.2 mm, and 256 × 256 matrix.

The T2-weighted anatomical images were 52 contiguous slices acquired with an echo-planar (gradient-echo) sequence, with TR = 4000 ms, TE = 2 ms, FOV = 256 mm, 2.4 mm slice thickness, flip angle = 90°, voxel size = 2 × 2 × 2.4 mm, and 256 × 256 matrix.

The T2*-weighted echo-planar, functional images were 28 contiguous slices acquired using an inverse spiral sequence, with TR = 1500 ms, TE = 27 ms, FOV = 256 mm, 4.8-mm slice thickness, flip angle = 60°, voxel size = 4 × 4 × 4.8 mm, and 64 × 64 matrix.

Each of the DTI sequences was 52 contiguous slices using a dual spin echo base sequence, with TR = 17000 ms, TE = 86.7 ms, FOV = 25.6 cm, flip angle = 90°, 2.4 mm slice thickness, voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1.2 mm, and 128 × 128 matrix. Diffusion was measured from 15 noncollinear directions at a b factor of 1000 s/mm²; one reference image had no diffusion weighting.

Behavioral data analyses

Reaction time (RT) on the cue + target trials was analyzed with a version of the Ratcliff model of two-choice discrimination (Ratcliff, 1978; Ratcliff et al., 2000) as implemented by Wagenmakers et al. (2007). This model uses the distribution of RT for correct responses, across trials, for each participant, to estimate model parameters representing the decisional and nondecisional components of performance. In the present task, the decisional component, drift rate (v), represents the efficiency of the retrieval of semantic information (manmade/natural or large/small) required by the categorization response. The nondecisional component, perceptual–motor response time (T-er), represents the time required for visual feature encoding and response selection.

fMRI analyses

Analyses of functional imaging data were conducted with Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM2; Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK), along with locally developed Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA) scripts. The first six volumes of each run, which always occurred during an off-task period, were discarded. Images were corrected for slice-timing and head motion, spatially normalized to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template, and then spatially smoothed with an 8 mm Gaussian kernel. No participant moved more than 3 mm in any direction, either within or across runs. A high-pass filter was included in every model to correct for scanner drift.

The fMRI data were analyzed within the general linear model (GLM) of SPM2 (Friston et al., 1995), using a mixed blocked/event-related design, which incorporated both event-related (transient) and blocked (sustained) regressors for the hemodynamic response function (HRF; Burgund et al., 2003; Dennis et al., 2007; Donaldson et al., 2001; Otten et al., 2002; Visscher et al., 2003). First-level, subject-specific SPM models contained both transient and sustained
regressors. Transient regressors, for both cue-only and cue + target trials, were coded as a stick function (delta) convolved with the HRF + time basis function (Miezin et al., 2000; Woodruff et al., 2006), with the onset corresponding to the onset of the cue. The transient regressors specified whether the trial was cue-only or cue + target, and whether the cue was a repeat or a switch from the previous trial. The sustained regressors were modeled as a fixed response (boxcar) waveform, with onset corresponding to the onset of the first cue in an on-task period and lasting the duration of the on-task period. The implicit baseline was the jittered ITI. The correlation between the transient and sustained regressors did not exceed r = 0.52 for any participant. Trials on which the participant either responded incorrectly, or failed to respond to a target (<2% of trials within each age group), were modeled separately and eliminated. The first trial of each on-task period was coded separately and deleted from the analyses, because it cannot be designated as either repeat or switch. Head motion and scanner drift were treated as covariates of no interest.

The contrasts of interests were the effects of trial type (repeat and switch) and age group (younger and older). Second-level, random effects analyses were conducted on the first-level contrast images. At the second level, we constructed two omnibus, event-related activation maps, one for cue-only trials and one for cue + target trials, for both age groups combined. These were used as inclusive masks in tests of the contrasts for the trial type and age group effects. For the cue-related contrasts, the omnibus activation map represented the t-statistic per voxel on the cue-only trials, relative to the implicit baseline, across both trial types (repeat and switch) and age groups, with group-variance estimates pooled. This map used a t threshold of p < 0.001 (uncorrected) and an extent threshold of 10 voxels. For the target-related contrasts, the map was constructed from the contrast of cue + target trials > cue-only trials (p < 0.001, uncorrected; 10 voxel extent), for both age groups and repeat/switch trial types combined. Thus, target-related activity was identified by subtracting cue-only activity from cue + target activity. To focus specifically on positive activations, this contrast was mask all voxels. For the cue-related contrasts represents processes associated with reading the cue and preparing for the target. The map for the target-related contrasts represents additional processing associated with reading the target, selecting the appropriate semantic category, and making the response, beyond the cue-specific processing.

We tested for age group differences in the omnibus activation maps by examining two separate contrasts, older > younger and younger > older, using each omnibus map as an inclusive mask. For each age group contrast, an additional inclusive mask was used to isolate activations above threshold. For example, the older > younger contrast within the omnibus activation map used an inclusive mask of (p < 0.05, uncorrected; 10 voxel extent) for the older adults’ data (switch and repeat trials combined), to limit the age difference to activation that was above the ITI baseline for the older adults. Similarly, in the younger > older contrast, the younger adults' data was masked to focus on the above-baseline activation. Each between-group contrast used a significance level of p < 0.05 and an extent threshold of 10 voxels. The masking procedure yielded a conservative threshold, in that the age group contrast threshold of p < 0.05 was layered on the initial p < 0.001 omnibus activation threshold, and both of these were limited to cluster sizes of 10 voxels or greater.

Following this definition of the overall event-related activation, we conducted contrasts that defined the effects of trial type (repeat versus switch) and age differences in the trial type effect. As in the omnibus map analyses, cue-related and target-related activations were analyzed separately. Using each omnibus activation map as an inclusive mask, a contrast for switch > repeat was defined using a t threshold of p < 0.01 (uncorrected) and 10 voxel extent. For the switch > repeat contrast, an additional, inclusive mask of switch trials > baseline (p < 0.05, uncorrected; 10 voxel extent) was used, to limit the results to positive activation on switch trials. The contrast for repeat > switch was constructed similarly, using a p < 0.01 threshold for the contrast (within the omnibus map) and a p < 0.05 threshold for the inclusive mask limiting the contrast to positive activation on repeat trials. Age group contrasts for older > younger and younger > older (p < 0.05; 10 voxel extent) were then added (separately) to these trial type effects, masked to focus on positive activations.

The omnibus map of sustained activation was defined by the voxelwise t statistics for the sustained regressor, for both age groups combined, across the averaged on-task periods within each run, relative to the averaged off-task periods in each run. In preliminary analyses, using a threshold comparable to that of the event-related omnibus map (p < 0.001; 10 voxel extent), no clusters were significant. We report exploratory analyses of the sustained activation using a less conservative threshold of p < 0.05 (uncorrected; 10 voxel extent) for the omnibus activation map. Age group contrasts (p < 0.05; 10 voxel extent) were constructed as in the event-related case, with additional masking to limit the age effects to positive activations.

**Functional connectivity analyses**

In analyses of functional connectivity of event-related activation, we first identified the local maxima of the switch > repeat contrast, from the random effects, SPM analyses conducted for both age groups combined. (As noted in Results, no event-related activation was significant for repeat > switch.) The switch-related activation was analyzed separately within the cue-related and target-related omnibus activation maps. For these local maxima, we calculated the percent signal change on each trial, for each participant, using locally developed Matlab scripts. We created a GLM in which each individual trial was modeled by a separate covariate, yielding parameter estimates for each individual trial and for each individual participant. Activity levels for each voxel (local maximum), on individual trials, were derived from the parameter estimates, scaled to the session mean per voxel, and converted to percent signal change. The validity of this approach has been confirmed in previous studies (Daselaar et al., 2006a,b; Rissman et al., 2004). For the set of local maxima associated with each switch > repeat contrast, correlations were computed for each pairwise combination of local maxima, across all trials (within participants). Correlations were transformed to Fisher z values (Fisher, 1921) for further analysis. Thus, an increasing z value, for these variables, represents increasing connectivity. For each participant, a composite connectivity measure was constructed by averaging the z values across all pairs of local maxima, within the set of local maxima identified in the switch > repeat contrast.

**DTI analyses**

The two DTI runs were merged to a single data set for each participant, to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. We calculated diffusion tensors from the 15 diffusion weighted images, based on a least squares fit of the tensor model to the diffusion data (Basser and Jones, 2002). Diagonalization of the tensor yielded three voxel-specific eigenvalues (λ1 > λ2 > λ3), which represent diffusivities along the three principal directions of the tensor. White matter pathways were estimated using DTI tractography (Corouge et al., 2006; Mori and Zhang, 2006), and fractional anisotropy values within pathways are reported in Madden et al. (2009b).

**Results**

**Behavioral data**

The mean values for reaction time, error rate, and the reaction time distribution parameters for the quality of evidence accumulation (drift rate, ν) and perceptual encoding and response time
(nondecision time, T-er) are presented in Table 1. Our primary interest was in the reaction time distribution parameters. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of these latter values, with age group as a between-subjects variable and trial type (repeat, switch) as a within-subjects variable (reported previously, Madden et al., 2009b), yielded significant main effects of age group for both drift rate, F(1, 38) = 10.74, p < 0.01, and nondecision time, F(1, 38) = 6.84, p < 0.05, representing less efficient semantic retrieval and longer nondecision time for older adults than for younger adults. Trial type was also associated with a significant main effect for both drift rate, F(1, 38) = 36.52, p < 0.0001, and nondecision time, F(1, 38) = 5.80, p < 0.05, reflecting increased difficulty in both the decisional and nondecisional components for switch trials relative to repeat trials. The Age Group × Trial Type interaction was not significant.

Cue-related activation

The event-related activation for cue-only trials, relative to the implicit baseline, is presented in Table 2. From the SPM analyses, the MNI coordinates were converted to Talairach space (Talairach and Tournoux, 1988), using the method of Lacadie et al. (2008), and the Talairach coordinates are listed in the table. The omnibus activation map included a cluster of 3169 voxels comprising extensive activation posteriorly, throughout the occipital and parietal lobes, and extending into the cerebellum. A second cluster of 1143 voxels extended through the middle frontal, superior frontal, and precentral gyri. Within this combined map of repeat and switch trials, several regions exhibited higher activation for older adults than younger adults, with the largest clusters (136–201 voxels) in the occipitoparietal areas, bilaterally, and smaller clusters in the cerebellar, temporal, and prefrontal regions. One cluster in the right occipital lobe (61 voxels) exhibited higher activation for younger adults than for older adults.

Within this omnibus map, six clusters exhibited higher activation on switch trials than on repeat trials (Table 2, Fig. 3). The prefrontal and occipitoparietal activations were bilateral but more extensive in the right hemisphere, whereas the cerebellar/occipital activation was limited primarily to the left hemisphere. The two age groups did not differ significantly in the magnitude of the switch-related activation. No significant activation was observed for the repeat > switch contrast.

Target-related activation

The event-related, omnibus activation map for cue + target trials (repeat and switch combined) is presented in Table 3. As noted previously (Materials and methods), this map was thresholded to represent activation associated with the target, above that associated

Table 1

Behavioral performance as a function of age group and trial type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Hem</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>Voxels</th>
<th>Talairach coordinates (xyz)</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Omnibus activation</td>
<td>Superior occipital gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3169</td>
<td>37 −73 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3169</td>
<td>−31 −68</td>
<td>−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior occipital gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3169</td>
<td>45 −76</td>
<td>−3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle frontal gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>40 −1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>4 −17</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>−5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>−7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>−7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>−13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claustrum</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Omnibus activation = cue-only, switch and repeat trials combined and both age groups combined, relative to the implicit baseline (inter-trial interval; ITI). Hem = hemisphere; L = left; R = right; BA = Brodmann area; Voxels = number of voxels in cluster; Talairach coordinates = location in standard stereotaxic space (Talairach and Tournoux, 1988); T = T-value of cluster peak. For the switch > repeat contrast, the local maximum of each cluster, presented in bold, was selected for analyses of functional connectivity (Fig. 3).

Table 2

Event-related activation associated with cue processing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Hem</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>Voxels</th>
<th>Talairach coordinates (xyz)</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repeat contrast</td>
<td>Superior occipital gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3169</td>
<td>37 −73 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3169</td>
<td>−31 −68</td>
<td>−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior occipital gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3169</td>
<td>45 −76</td>
<td>−3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle frontal gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>40 −1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>4 −17</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>−48</td>
<td>−5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>−7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>−7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>−13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claustrum</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Omnibus activation = cue-only, switch and repeat trials combined and both age groups combined, relative to the implicit baseline (inter-trial interval; ITI). Hem = hemisphere; L = left; R = right; BA = Brodmann area; Voxels = number of voxels in cluster; Talairach coordinates = location in standard stereotaxic space (Talairach and Tournoux, 1988); T = T-value of cluster peak. For the switch > repeat contrast, the local maximum of each cluster, presented in bold, was selected for analyses of functional connectivity (Fig. 3).

with the cue. A single cluster of 5105 voxels represented widespread, target-related activation, which did not differentiate into smaller clusters. The local maxima were highest in the occipital lobes bilaterally, but activation in the frontal, parietal, and cerebellar regions was also clearly evident. The age group contrast yielded seven clusters of higher activation for older adults than for younger adults.
adults, most prominently in the prefrontal cortex bilaterally but also including basal ganglia and cerebellum. One cluster in the left fusiform gyrus exhibited higher activation for younger adults than for older adults.

Five clusters in the target-related omnibus map exhibited higher activation for switch trials than for repeat trials (Table 3, Fig. 4). The most extensive cluster (552 voxels) spanned the lateral regions of the parietal and frontal lobes, primarily in the left hemisphere. Other clusters, in the right hemisphere, were located more posteriorly, with local maxima in the postcentral gyrus, posterior cingulate, and inferior occipital gyrus. The magnitude of the switch-related activation associated with the target did not exhibit significant age-related change.

The repeat > switch contrast did not yield significant activation on these trials.

Sustained activation

The magnitude of the sustained activation during the on-task periods, relative to the off-task baseline, for both age groups combined, is presented in Table 4 and Fig. 5. This activation was located primarily in the left hemisphere, parietal, inferior temporal, and inferior prefrontal regions. Less extensive activation occurred in the middle frontal gyrus and cerebellum of the right hemisphere. The age group contrasts indicated that activation in the left inferior frontal gyrus was greater for younger adults than for older adults. No region of increased activation for older adults was observed.

In the mixed blocked/event-related design, event-related activation may contribute to the estimated sustained effects (Braver et al., 2003; Burgund et al., 2003; Donaldson et al., 2001; Otten et al., 2002; Visscher et al., 2003). In the present data, all of the correlations between the event-related and sustained effects (per participant, within each run), were positive and ranged from $r = 0.26$ to $r = 0.52$. We therefore analyzed the age difference in the sustained activation as percent signal change, covaried for the corresponding event-related effect. At the local maximum in the left inferior frontal gyrus (BA 45), which was the region exhibiting the age difference in sustained activation, the percent signal change in the sustained activation was 23.77 ($SD = 22.77$) for younger adults and 1.45 ($SD = 23.71$) for older adults. Two covariates were constructed, one for switch-related activation on cue-only trials and one for the switch-related activation on cue+target trials. For each participant, the mean event-related, percent signal change, across all trials, was obtained from the local maxima associated with switching on the cue-only trials (Table 2) and on the cue+target trials (Table 3). The age-related decline in sustained activation, at the left inferior frontal gyrus, was significant when covaried for the event-related activation, $F(1, 37) = 7.58$, $p < 0.01$.

Functional connectivity of switch-related activation on cue-only trials

As a measure of functional connectivity of switch-related activation on cue-only trials, we first isolated the percent change in the fMRI signal, on each trial, for each participant, at the six voxels corresponding to the local maxima for the switch > repeat contrast, in the omnibus activation map for cue-only trials (Table 2; Fig. 3). The percent signal change values are presented in Fig. 6. A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) on these six values, with age group as a between-subjects variable, and trial type (repeat and switch) as a within-subjects variable, yielded only a significant main effect of trial type, $F(6, 33) = 6.15$, $p < 0.01$, reflecting the higher fMRI signal for switch trials relative to repeat trials, across the six local maxima.

For each participant and trial type (repeat and switch), we computed all possible bivariate correlations (15 total), across trials, among the percent signal change values at the six local maxima. The Pearson $r$ values, averaged across participants, ranged from $-0.01$ to 0.36 for younger adults, and from $-0.06$ to 0.28 for older adults. We then
maxima for the switch
cue+target trials

Functional connectivity of switch-related activation on
these data indicated that the mean correlation was signi-
ficantly greater than zero for each combination of age group and
trial type, with t(19)>4.50, p<0.001, in each case.

Table 3
Event-related activation associated with target processing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Hem</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>Voxel</th>
<th>Talairach coordinates</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Omnibus activation, both groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior occipital gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5105</td>
<td>29 -94 -7</td>
<td>10.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5105</td>
<td>-42 22 15</td>
<td>9.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5105</td>
<td>-42 7 24</td>
<td>9.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omnibus activation, older &lt; younger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>33 -36 48</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>47 -26 28</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle frontal gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>37 -61 32</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum (culmen)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>-15 -45 -12</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cingulate</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>-116 16 32</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diencephalic</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>7 -25 -8</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>38 -9 16</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claustrum</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>27 10 12</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>30 20 5</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lentiform nucleus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>-23 16 1</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>-35 19 9</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>46 0 3</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum (declive)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-36 -77 -20</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-54 19 23</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum (declive)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>50 -77 16</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum (declive)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45 -69 16</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum (tuber)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45 -66 25</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omnibus activation, younger &gt; older</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-38 -56</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch &gt; repeat, both groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>-28 -53 48</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>-32 -45 40</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>-24 -68 32</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>36 -25 44</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>54 -23 24</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cingulate</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>15 -66 16</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cingulate</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>16 -66 16</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cingulate</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20 -66 8</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior occipital gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33 -75 0</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle occipital gyrus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45 -79 0</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-57 -52 0</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Omnibus activation = contrast for cue + target ~ cue-only, switch and repeat trials combined and both age groups combined, masked inclusively for all cue + target trials greater than the implicit baseline (inter-trial interval; ITI). Hem = hemisphere; L = left; R = right; BA = Brodmann area; Voxel = number of voxels in cluster; Talairach coordinates = location in standard stereostatic space (Talairach and Tournoux, 1988); T = T-value of cluster peak. For the switch ~ repeat contrast, the local maximum of each cluster, presented in bold, was selected for analyses of functional connectivity (Fig. 4).

averaged the 15 Fisher z-transformed correlations, yielding a single
summary measure for each participant within each trial type. Mean
values for this summary measure are presented in Fig. 7. An ANOVA
of these data indicated that the mean correlation was significantly
higher for younger adults than for older adults, F(1, 38) = 11.67,
P<0.001, but that neither the trial type main effect nor the Age
Group × Trial Type interaction was significant (F<1.0 in each case).
This summary measure of cue-related, functional connectivity was
significantly greater than zero for each combination of age group and
trial type, with t(19)>6.0, P=0.001, in each case.

Functional connectivity of switch-related activation on
cue + target trials

We used a similar approach to define functional connectivity on
the cue + target trials, using the percent signal change at the five local
maxima for the switch ~ repeat contrast in the omnibus activation
map (Table 3; Fig. 4). The percent signal change values at these local
maxima are presented in Fig. 8. A MANOVA of these values yielded
significant main effects of age group, F(5, 34) = 2.83, P<0.05, and trial
type, F(5, 34) = 4.01, P<0.01, reflecting relatively higher activation
for younger adults than for older adults, and for switch trials than for
repeat trials, across the five local maxima.

There were ten possible bivariate correlations among the five local
maxima. The Pearson r values, averaged across participants, ranged
from 0.01 to 0.31 for younger adults and from −0.06 to 0.33 for older
adults. We performed an ANOVA of these data, using the mean of the
Fisher z-transformed values of these ten correlations, for each par-
ticipant and trial type, as a summary measure (Fig. 9). This analysis
indicated that connectivity did not vary significantly across age group,
but that connectivity was higher on switch trials than on repeat trials,
F(1, 38) = 4.06, P<0.05. The interaction term was not significant.
The summary measure of target-related, functional connectivity was sig-
nificantly greater than zero for each combination of age group and
trial type, with t(19)>4.50, P<0.001, in each case.

Functional connectivity, cognitive performance, and white
matter integrity

We examined whether the functional connectivity measures
were mediators of age differences in cognitive performance, that is,
whether the age-related variance in drift rate and nondecision time
is attenuated when controlled for functional connectivity. To qualify as
a mediator, a variable (e.g., functional connectivity) must exhibit
both a significant age group difference and an age-independent rela-
tion to performance (Baron and Kenny, 1986). Because the age
group difference in the summary measure of functional connectivity
was significant for cue-related activation, but not for target-related
activation (Figs. 7 and 9), the mediational analyses were limited to the
cue-related data.

For the cue-only trials, we first examined whether the summary
measure of functional connectivity exhibited an age-independent
relation to the RT distribution measures of drift rate and nondecision
time. The age group difference in the drift rate and nondecision time
measures did not vary as a function of trial type (Table 1), and thus we
averaged over trial type in creating mean drift rate and nondecision
time variables. With age group included in the regression model,
functional connectivity on cue-only trials was a significant predictor
of mean drift rate, t(37) = 2.68, SE = 0.055, P<0.01, reflecting an age-
independent effect. As illustrated in Fig. 10, increasing functional
connectivity was associated with higher drift rate (i.e., more efficient
semantic retrieval). Functional connectivity did not exhibit an age-
independent relation to nondecision time.

To examine the mediation of age-related effects in drift rate, we
used hierarchical regression analyses in which age group was entered,
as a predictor of drift rate on cue-only switch trials, both alone and
following functional connectivity (Salathouse, 1992). These analyses
demonstrated that functional connectivity was a powerful mediator
and accounted for 74% of the age-related variance in drift rate, on cue-
only trials (Table 5).

Madden et al. (2009b) reported that, in these data, white matter
integrity, as assessed from DTI, was a significant mediator of age-
derived differences in drift rate. Specifically, fractional anisotropy
(FA) in two regions, the central portion of the genu and the splenium–
parietal fibers of the right hemisphere, satisfied the tests of mediation
and led to significant attenuation of age-related variance in drift rate.
The possibility thus exists that the mediation of age-related dif-
ferences in drift rate by functional connectivity (Table 5) is influenced
by white matter integrity in the genu and splenium–parietal regions.
With age group included in the regression model, however, the FA
values associated with these regions were not significant predictors
of functional connectivity (parameter estimate r[37] = 0.27, P=0.50 for
central genu, and r[36] = 1.59, P=0.10, for right splenium–parietal). The
FA variables did not exhibit an age-independent relation to
functional connectivity, and thus the mediating effects of functional connectivity, on drift rate, were independent of the mediating effects of white matter integrity.

To examine potential mediation of the cognitive measures by sustained activation, we analyzed the relation between sustained activation at the local maximum of the age group difference (left inferior frontal gyrus; Table 4) and the drift rate and nondecision time measures. No age-independent relation was evident between the sustained activation and the cognitive performance measures.

**Discussion**

Our goal in this experiment was to investigate adult age differences in the functional neuroanatomy of one form of executive control—task switching—specifically, the pattern of event-related and sustained task-related activation, the functional connectivity of activated regions, and the role of white matter integrity in relation to functional connectivity and task performance. By including cue-only trials, we were able to distinguish the preparatory processes of task switching associated with the cue, independently of target identification and response processes (Brass and von Cramon, 2002; Slagter et al., 2006). For both age groups combined, the switch-related activation on cue-only trials comprised a broadly distributed network, with six clusters in dorsolateral prefrontal, parietal, diencephalic, and occipital/cerebellar regions (Table 2, Fig. 3), consistent with previous studies of task switching (Barber and Carter, 2005; Brass and von Cramon, 2004; Garavan et al., 2000b; Kimberg et al., 2000; Liston et al., 2006; Sohn et al., 2000; Sylvester et al., 2003). In this experiment, the switch-related activation on cue-only trials was largely bilateral but more extensive in the right hemisphere, a laterality effect that has been noted in some imaging studies of visual attention (Imaruoka et al., 2003; Kim et al., 1999; Nobre et al., 1997). In contrast, the switch-related activation for target processing, beyond that associated with the cue, was more left-lateralized, especially in the parietal lobe (Table 3, Fig. 4), which may represent the increased contribution of semantic retrieval during target identification (Binder et al., 2003; Price, 2000).

**Adult age differences in event-related and sustained activation**

We found that the pattern of event-related activation for task switching was similar for younger and older adults. In contrast to our initial expectation, the magnitude of event-related switching effects,
for both cue and target processing, was comparable for the two age groups (Tables 2 and 3; Figs. 3 and 4). Previous studies reporting age-related differences in task switching used a blocked design (DiGirolamo et al., 2001; Gold et al., 2010), rather than our mixed blocked/event-related design. Thus, our findings suggest that, at the level of individual trials, the magnitude of frontoparietal activation associated with switching between tasks does not vary significantly as a function of age group. The behavioral data (Table 1) also exhibited task switching effects that were constant across age group, which has been noted in some previous behavioral studies (Mayr, 2001; Verhaeghen and Cerella, 2002). Overall, our data suggest that age-related differences associated with task switching occur across most or in all of the component processes (Salthouse et al., 2003), beyond the level of task-specific processing on individual trials.

Fig. 5. Sustained activation during the on-task periods, relative to the off-task periods (see Materials and methods). The red–yellow color scale represents active voxels for both age groups combined. The purple color scale represents greater activation for younger adults than for older adults (Table 4). No activation was significantly greater for older adults than for younger adults.

Fig. 6. Mean percent signal change for each of the six local maxima of switch-related activation in the omnibus activation map for cue-only trials (Table 2, bold values). Panel A = repeat trials; panel B = switch trials; LC = left cerebellum; LF = left frontal; LP = left parietal; RF = right frontal; RP = right parietal; RT = right thalamus.
The event-related activation in the omnibus maps, however, to which both switch and repeat trials contributed equally, did exhibit significant age-related differences (Tables 2 and 3). The pattern of these age-related effects in the omnibus maps resembled the previous studies of task switching and aging (DiGirolamo et al., 2001; Gold et al., 2010), in that several regions of the frontoparietal network (particularly prefrontal regions), for both cue and target processing, were greater in magnitude for older adults than for younger adults. A more limited number of regions in the event-related, omnibus activation maps, primarily in occipital cortex, exhibited greater activation for younger adults than for older adults. Because these maps do not represent specific, task-related contrasts, the relevant cognitive operations cannot be defined. These results are valuable for demonstrating that the age similarity observed for the task switching contrasts was not due to an inability to detect an age-related difference in the data. In addition, the trend of the age-related effect in the event-related, omnibus activation maps, with younger adults exhibiting higher activation in occipital cortex, and the older adults exhibiting higher activation in prefrontal cortex, illustrates the posterior–anterior shift in aging (PASA) pattern described by Davis et al. (2008). Overall, the age-related increase in prefrontal activation in the omnibus activation maps, combined with the age similarity in activation for task switching, support a nonselective recruitment model (Logan et al., 2002). That is, older adults activate multiple prefrontal regions during task performance, rather than limiting activation to those regions specifically supporting the executive control of task switching.

Sustained activation, for both age groups combined, occurred in several prefrontal, parietal, and ventral temporal regions, primarily in the left hemisphere (Table 4 and Fig. 5). Because the sustained activation is defined as occurring throughout the on-task period, the relevant cognitive processing is difficult to define; and the sustained
analyses used a less conservative threshold than the event-related analyses. The pattern of regional activation is consistent with the engagement of linguistic and semantic memory retrieval processes necessary for the categorization of the target words (Binder et al., 2003; Price, 2000). This sustained activation differs from the sustained activation of right prefrontal regions, during task switching, reported by Braver et al. (2003). The present experiment differs in several respects from that of Braver et al., specifically in our use of cue-only trials. Also, Braver et al. used a single-task baseline for the estimation of sustained activation, which would subtract many of the linguistic processes contributing to the sustained effect here.

In comparing age groups, we found that sustained activation within the left inferior frontal gyrus (BA 45), covaried for event-related activity, was lower for older adults than for younger adults (Table 4 and Fig. 5). Imaging studies have yielded evidence for left inferior frontal gyrus involvement in several forms of cognitive processing relevant for word categorization and task switching, including semantic retrieval (Demb et al., 1995; Fiez, 1997), the selection of response alternatives (Thompson-Schill et al., 1997), and inhibitory control (Brass and von Cramon, 2004; Swick et al., 2008). Thus, older adults' nonselective prefrontal activation, at the individual trial level, is associated with a decrease in sustained activation during the on-task periods. These findings are broadly similar to those of Dennis et al. (2007), who reported an age-related decline in sustained prefrontal activation during memory encoding, combined with an age-related increase in transient, prefrontal activation.

**Adult age differences in functional connectivity**

The analyses of functional connectivity demonstrated that although the magnitude of event-related activation for task switching was similar for younger and older adults, age-related differences were evident in the temporal coherence of switch-related regions. From the omnibus activation maps of all participants combined, we calculated percent signal change at the local maxima of switch-related activation for both cue and target processing (Figs. 6 and 8). The average correlation of event-related activation, across trials, associated with the cue, was significantly higher for younger adults than for older adults (Fig. 7). This finding supported our initial hypothesis, based on previous findings of age-related decline in functional connectivity during episodic memory performance (Daselaar et al., 2006a; Dennis et al., 2008; Grady, 2005). These data suggest that age-related decline occurs in the functional connectivity of the preparatory processes associated with the cue. For target-related regions, in contrast, functional connectivity was similar for the two age groups (Fig. 9). Note that although the local maxima were defined by the switch-related activation, the degree of functional connectivity, for both cue and target processing, did not vary significantly across switch and repeat trials (Figs. 7 and 9). Thus, these frontoparietal networks were engaged consistently across all trials and were not limited to switch trials.

Individual differences in the degree of functional connectivity associated with cue processing mediated age-related differences in the categorization responses to the target. From a model of the reaction time distributions (Wagenmakers et al., 2007), we separated the decisional component of categorization (e.g., semantic retrieval) from the nondecisional component (e.g., target encoding and response selection). As reported in previous analyses of the behavioral data (Madden et al., 2009b), older adults exhibited both less efficient decisional processing (drift rate) and slower nondecisional processing, relative to younger adults (Table 1). We adopted the Baron and Kenny (1986) criteria and required that an age-independent relation exists, between functional connectivity and behavioral performance, to qualify as a mediating effect. An age-independent relation was present for drift rate, but not for nondecision time. As illustrated in Fig. 10, increasing functional connectivity of cue-related activation was associated with higher drift rate, that is, more efficient retrieval of target-relevant, semantic information. Further, including cue-related, functional connectivity as a predictor, in the regression model for drift

---

**Table 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SEB</th>
<th>r²</th>
<th>Δr²</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Percentage attenuation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td>−0.017</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.74 **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.58 ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td>−0.010</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>74.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. B = parameter estimate; SE = standard error; r² = cumulative r² for current and preceding steps; Δr² = unique effect of age.

*** p < 0.001.

**Fig. 10.** Relation between functional connectivity on cue-only trials and target categorization. Panel A = connectivity (x-axis) versus the efficiency of semantic retrieval (drift rate) in the categorization response (y-axis); panel B = connectivity (x-axis) versus nondecision time (T-er) in the categorization response (y-axis). Connectivity is the mean of all correlations, of event-related activation for the switch-repea...

---

1 The percent signal change in the local maxima associated with task switching exhibited a trend of age-related decline (Figs. 6 and 8), which was statistically significant for target-related processing (Fig. 8). Thus, although the voxelwise, SPM analyses indicated age constancy in switch-related activation (Figs. 3 and 4), the most highly activated voxels for the switch > contrast (local maxima) exhibited some degree of age-related decline.
rate, attenuated the age-related variance in drift rate by 74% (Table 5), demonstrating a substantial degree of mediation.

Thus, our initial hypothesis that functional connectivity would mediate age-related effects in cognitive performance held for the activation associated with the cue, in relation to decision processes associated with the target. The age-related decline in drift rate for task categorization is in some respects surprising, because performance in semantic tasks is often well-preserved for older adults (Burke and Shafto, 2008; Mayr and Kliegl, 2000). In this particular task, however, participants held in mind different categorization criteria and switched between them as instructed by the cue, which required more executive control processing than typical measures of semantic retrieval (e.g., lexical decision). By adding a single-task condition, it would be possible to test this interpretation of the age-related difference in drift rate.

The role of white matter integrity

In a previous analysis of the relation between these cognitive performance data and the DTI data (Madden et al., 2009b), we demonstrated that individual differences in white matter integrity within two regions, the genu of the corpus callosum and splenium-parietal fibers in the right hemisphere, mediated the age-related decline in drift rate (see also Gold et al., 2010; Perry et al., 2009). This finding suggests that age-related decrease in white matter integrity, within the frontoparietal network, leads to a disconnection among task-relevant cortical regions (Madden et al., 2009a; Sullivan and Pfefferbaum, 2006). Given the observed mediation of age-related differences in drift rate, by cue-related functional connectivity, we hypothesized that white matter integrity, within the two previously identified regions, contributed significantly to the relation between functional connectivity and drift rate. Our analyses, however, did not detect the hypothesized relation. Individual differences in white matter integrity (FA) did not exhibit an age-independent relation to the functional connectivity associated with cue processing. Although other studies have reported a correlation between white matter integrity and resting state (i.e., intrinsic) functional connectivity (Andrews-Hanna et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2009), we did not observe the relation for task-dependent activation. Thus, in this form of executive control, both white matter integrity and cue-related functional connectivity are mediators of age-related variability in the efficiency of target categorization (drift rate), but these mediating effects appear to be independent of each other. Although this negative result should be interpreted with caution, it is possible that intrinsic functional connectivity is related more closely to anatomical integrity than is task-dependent functional connectivity (Madden et al., 2009a).

Limitations

The design and analysis of this research are limited in several respects. In the analyses of both brain activation and cognitive performance, we relied on comparisons between two age categories, younger and older adults. This approach maximizes the sensitivity of the age group effect, but without intermediate age values, the trajectory of the age-related function cannot be defined. A related limitation is that the comparisons are cross-sectional, and thus differences between age groups reflect the differences between cohorts of participants, as well as chronological age. Estimation of true age-related change requires a longitudinal assessment, within participants. Our results point to task set reconfiguration processes (Meiran et al., 2000; Monsell, 2003; Monsell and Mizon, 2006), as a source of age-related difference in functional connectivity, but cue encoding time may also contribute, independently of executive control (Logan and Bundesen, 2003). In addition, given the variety of analyses we conducted, we limited the scope of our inquiry to positive activations (i.e., changes in the fMRI signal above baseline), and deactivations may also occur (i.e., priming effects), which we have not addressed (Dobbins et al., 2004; Lustig and Buckner, 2004; Persson et al., 2007). The activation of the frontoparietal network associated with executive control may also change significantly as a function of practice (Erickson et al., 2007; Garavan et al., 2000a; Kelly and Garavan, 2005). Finally, in our analyses of white matter integrity we limited the analysis to white matter regions that had been identified previously as mediators of age-related variability in the behavioral data. Without this constraint, it may be possible to identify white matter regions that are related significantly to age-related effects for functional connectivity.

Conclusion

In this experiment we provide the first evidence, to our knowledge, of an age-related difference in functional connectivity during executive control. In a cued word categorization task, the requirement to switch between categorization tasks, across trials, led to changes in behavioral performance (decrease in drift rate and increase in nondecision time) that were similar for younger and older adults. From cue-only trials, we isolated activation associated with switch-related preparatory processing, within a widely distributed frontoparietal network, which differed from switch-related activation occurring during target categorization. Younger and older adults were similar in the magnitude of event-related, frontoparietal activation associated with task switching, for both cue and target processing, although the omnibus activation maps exhibited several clusters of increased activation for older adults, relative to younger adults, suggesting a less selective pattern of activation on the part of older adults.

More critically, the functional connectivity of activation within switch-related regions, during cue processing, was higher for younger adults than for older adults. Further, individual differences in functional connectivity of these regions shared a substantial portion of the age-related variability in the efficiency of the categorization response to the target (drift rate). However, the functional connectivity of frontal-parietal regions associated with preparatory processing is a significant mediator of age-related differences in the efficiency of target categorization. Further studies should address the individual components of executive control and the potential relation between functional connectivity and white matter integrity.
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